

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/556,989 | 11/16/2005 | Bernd Siber | 3489 | 3906 |
| Striker Striker | 7590 08/25/200 & Stenby | 9 | EXAMINER | |
| 103 East Neck Road | | | SMITH, MATTHEW J | |
| Huntington, N | Y 11743 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3635 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/25/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

| | Matthew J. Smith | 3635 | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner. | (3) | | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Ilya Zborovsky, agent</u> . | (4) | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 19 August 2009. | | | | | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2 | 2)∏ applicant's representative | e] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1. | | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: 4529976 (Jameson et al.). | | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |) was not reached. h) № N | I/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner notes the amended claim appears to overcome the rejection in the 27Jan09 Office action. Upon an updated and possibly expanded search, e.a., classes 340, 169, the examiner will either contact applicant for correction of informalities or write an Office action. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | /Richard E. Chilcot, Jr./ | nit 3635 | | | | | |